A grammar for Balochi: challenges of describing a language that maybe is not one

While it has generally been acknowledged that Balochi (an Indo-European language of the Iranic branch) is historically one language, the synchronic variation of the dialects, spread over large areas in Iran, Pakistan, Afghanistan etc., is bewildering.

There are three major dialect groups (S, W, E), plus one outlier: Koroshi, spoken in Fars province (historically an offshoot of Southern Balochi). The differences are found on all levels of the grammar, and tend to be given in lists of what the respective author regards as salient criteria (cf. e.g. the introduction of ELFENBEIN 1990); a summary of such features is presented in Table 1.

Furthermore, the fact of being spoken in different countries exposes the dialects to superstrate influences not coinciding with dialect boundaries. These differences are not usually mentioned in the (few) works on Balochi dialectology. For instance, any Bal. dialect spoken in Pakistan is under the influence of the national languages Urdu and Pakistani English; depending on the region, Brahui, Pashto, Sindhi and other Indo-Aryan languages also play a role. In Iran, the influence of Persian is strong, now ever more so due to TV etc. In Turkmenistan, Balochi encounters Russian and Turkmen while the varieties in Oman are under heavy pressure from Arabic etc. (see Table 2). However, for speakers of Balochi, the most important obstacle for communication is the lexicon, a major part of which comes from Persian in Iran and from Urdu and Pakistani English in Pakistan, thus not comprehensible to Baloch from the other country. As for other levels of grammar, the Balochi phonemic system is of an Indo-Aryan type (having merged the inherited fricatives with stops, and acquired retroflexes). In Pakistan, IA influence may help to preserve ergativity and non-finite subordination, while Persian influence results in the use of prepositions and contributes to a weakening of ergativity and to the adoption of modal and aktionsart constructions calqued from Persian, as well as (in recent decades) in loanwords pronounced with fricatives instead of replacing these by stops. Persian influence also results in a major refashioning of the case system in some of Balochi spoken in Iran, while Balochi spoken in Turkmenistan has elaborated a combination of endings into a regular locative case, to the concept of which contact with Turkmen and Russian could have contributed.

Another non-trivial problem are the major discrepancies in the available data and descriptions. The only works summarising what was known about Balochi at the time are the substantial chapters by GEIGER 1901 (in the *Grundriss der iranischen Philologie*) and GRIERSON 1921 (in the *Linguistic Survey of India*), who had access only to Balochi spoken in British India, and JAHANI & KORN 2009, who include the by then known data from Iran, but not Koroshi, which has been investigated only recently. Conversely, available data from Eastern Balochi chiefly consists of material collected in the 19th century, noted according to the standards of the time -- owing to the political situation, access to the area has been difficult or impossible for foreigners. The only group which is rather well described is Western Balochi, but exactly these varieties are somewhat less interesting from a historical and typological perspective, as they pattern heavily on Persian.

The question thus arises how to write a grammar of Balochi, or whether one should refrain from doing so at all and rather consider writing separate grammars for some six (or more) forms of Balochi. -- In this paper, I present thoughts and problems from my work-in-progress on a Balochi grammar. For the sections where this seems feasible, I apply a diachronic approach, starting from Proto-Balochi, from which the attested dialects are derived. I also make use of the concept of Common Balochi, which is an abstract entity describing traits that Bal. varieties typically show.

	Western Balochi	Southern Balochi	Eastern Balochi
postvocalic stops;	(no change)		> fricatives;
Č, J		-	Š, Ž
ū	\bar{u} preserved	$> \overline{i}$ (some dialects)	$> \overline{\iota}$
<i>m</i> after vowel	<i>m</i> preserved		> w (some dialects)
h	weakened or lost	mostly preserved	preserved
use of fricatives	in loanwords	replaced by stops	in all words
PST in *-xt-	-(h)t	-tk	-xt
ending 1SG	-īn, -un	-ān, -un	-ūn
ending 1PL	-ēn, -an	-ēn	
PST/PRF	past and perfect		only perfect
ergativity	weakened; lost in	preserved	
	Sistan and Turkmenistan		
adpositions	prepositions frequent	Pakistan: chiefly or only postpos.,	chiefly
_		Iran: prep., postp.	postpositions
« father »,	<i>piss, mās</i> etc.	<i>pit, māt</i> etc.	$pi\theta$, $m\bar{a}\theta$ etc.
« mother » etc.	$<$ GEN etc. * $pi\theta r$ -, * $m\bar{a}\theta r$ -	< NOM/VOC *pitar-, *mātar-	

Table 1: Dialectal variation within Balochi (examples)

Table 2: Influence of other languages on Balochi (selection)

Pakistan	all varieties	Urdu and other	very many LW of all types
(SBal., WBal.,		IA languages	phonemic system
EBal.)			(retroflexes, no fricatives)
			strengthening postpositions,
			strengthening ergativity (?),
			strengthening non-finite subordination (?)
		Pakistani English	LW (particularly modern and technical)
	some regions	Brahui, Pashto	LW (not numerous)
Iran	all varieties	Persian	very many LW of all types
(SBal., WBal.)		(influence not uniform	adoption of fricatives
		throughout Iran)	prepositions
			weakening of ergativity (except for poetry)
			Persian-style subordination, modals etc.
	Koroshi	Qashqai	LW; storytelling
Afghanistan	all varieties	Afghan Persian	(see Persian)
(WBal.)	some regions	Pashto	LW
Turkmenistan	all varieties	Turkmen, Russian	LW
(WBal.)			case system: locative (?)
UAE, Oman	all varieties	Arabic	(heavy pressure from Atrabic;
(SBal.)			many Baloch do not speak Balochi)
Tanzania,	all varieties	Suahili etc.	(Balochi more or less lost)
Zanzibar			
diaspora	all varieties	English and other	(Balochi as a heritage language)
		European languages	

References:

ELFENBEIN, J. 1990. An Anthology of Classical and Modern Balochi Literature II: Glossary. Wiesbaden.
GEIGER, W. 1901. Die Sprache der Balütschen. Grundriss der iranischen Philologie I: Sprachgeschichte, ed. W. Geiger & E. Kuhn, 2:231–248. Strassburg.

GRIERSON, G. A. 1921. Balochi. *Linguistic Survey of India X: Specimens of Languages of the Eranian Family*, 327–451. Calcutta.

JAHANI, C. & A. KORN 2009. Balochi. The Iranian Languages, ed. G. Windfuhr, 634–692. London.